Mostly causal decon clarifies marine seismogram polarity.

by Jon Claerbout

SEP meeting May 2012



Report page 147, page 305





See vplot movie

Shot waveforms varying with the amount of pre-causal time.





$Y_{0.6}^{\text{Offset}(k)} \underbrace{\text{Cumro shot profile}}_{0.8} \# 33_{0.6}^{\text{Offset}(km)} \underbrace{\text{Offset}(km)}_{0.8}$





5

0.4

0.2

Why does this work?

Deconvolve with the right wavelet.

Then seismogram polarity becomes clear.



Why does this work?

Deconvolve with the right wavelet.

Then seismogram polarity becomes clear.

Why does conventional decon fail?

Ricker wavelets have no causal inverse.



Generally equivalent terms and concepts

Blind decon

- Predictive decon
- Causal decon
- Autoregression, Yule&Walker 1927
 Minimum-phase decon, MIT GAG 1954
 Wiener-Levinson-Burg decon, Toeplitz
 Kolmogoroff decon (1939) (in my textbook FGDP 1974) (the code is in my book PVI 1992)



 t, N^2

 $\omega, N \log N$

Generally equivalent terms and concepts

- Blind decon
- Predictive decon
- Causal decon
- Autoregression, Yule&Walker 1927
 Minimum-phase decon, MIT GAG 1954
 Wiener-Levinson-Burg decon, Toeplitz
 Kolmogoroff decon (1939) (in my textbook FGDP 1974) (the code is in my book PVI 1992)

I adapt Kolmogoroff to "mostly causal" inverse.



 t, N^2

 $\omega, N \log N$



$$\bar{S} = e^{\log \bar{S}} = e^{\bar{U}}$$
40 years later
Kolmogorov
Hilbert $S = e^{\log \bar{S} + i\Phi} = e^{\bar{U} + i\Phi} = e^{U}$

 \bar{S} is a given amplitude spectrum. Φ is an unknown phase. $s_{\tau} = \mathrm{FT}^{-1}[S]$ is the shot waveform. $u_{\tau} = \mathrm{FT}^{-1}[U]$ is "lag-log" parameter space.





Kolmogoroff-Wiener theorem (about 1940):

"If lag-log space u_{τ} is causal, then shot s_{τ} is too."

$$S = e^{\log \bar{S} + i\Phi} = e^{\bar{U} + i\Phi} = e^{U}$$

 \bar{S} is a given amplitude spectrum. Φ is an unknown phase. $s_{\tau} = \mathrm{FT}^{-1}[S]$ is the shot waveform. $u_{\tau} = \mathrm{FT}^{-1}[U]$ is "lag-log" parameter space.



Kolmogoroff-Wiener theorem (about 1940):

"If lag-log space u_{τ} is causal, then shot s_{τ} is too."

$$S = e^{\log \bar{S} + i\Phi} = e^{\bar{U} + i\Phi} = e^{U}$$

With this theorem you can do deconvolution by spectral factorization (and more!)

So let's prove it.



Kolmogoroff-Wiener theorem (about 1940): "If lag-log space is causal then shot is too."

Given a causal time function $(1, u_1, u_2, u_3, \cdots)$ with $Z = e^{i\omega\Delta t}$, the Z-transform $U(Z) = 1 + u_1Z + u_2Z^2 + u_2Z^2$ $u_3 Z^3 + \cdots$ is secretly a Fourier series. Exponentiate U(Z)by writing $e^{U(Z(\omega))}$ for all ω then Fourier transforming. Another exponential is $e^U = 1 + U + U^2/2! + U^3/3! + \cdots$ Inserting U into e^U gives us a new polynomial (infinite series) with no powers of 1/Z. It always converges because of the powerful influence of the denominator factorials. Thus we have shown that the "exponential of a causal is a causal".



Kolmogoroff-Wiener theorem (about 1940): "If lag-log space is causal then shot is too."

Given a causal time function $(1, u_1, u_2, u_3, \cdots)$ with $Z = e^{i\omega\Delta t}$, the Z-transform $U(Z) = 1 + u_1Z + u_2Z^2 + u_2Z^2$ $u_3 Z^3 + \cdots$ is secretly a Fourier series. Exponentiate U(Z)by writing $e^{U(Z(\omega))}$ for all ω then Fourier transforming. Another exponential is $e^U = 1 + \dot{U} + \dot{U}^2/2! + \dot{U}^3/3! + \cdots$. Inserting U into e^U gives us a new polynomial (infinite series) with no powers of 1/Z. It always converges because of the powerful influence of the denominator factorials. Thus we have shown that the "exponential of a causal is a causal".



In Fourier space,

the wavelet is e^U ;

its inverse is e^{-U} .



Main facts about lag-log u(t) space

1. Large valued lags in u_{τ} affect only large lags in the wavelet IFT(e^U) or the decon filter IFT(e^{-U}). Why? Put $U = 1 + Z^{10}$ into e^{U} .



Main facts about lag-log u(t) space

- 1. Large valued lags in u_{τ} affect only large lags in the wavelet IFT(e^U) or the decon filter IFT(e^{-U}). Why? Put $U = 1 + Z^{10}$ into e^{U} .
- 2. Small valued lags in u_{τ} affect mainly the small lags in the wavelet and the decon filter.





ROJEC

Main facts about lag-log u(t) space

- 1. Large valued lags in u_{τ} affect only large lags in the wavelet IFT(e^U) or the decon filter IFT(e^{-U}). Why? Put $U = 1 + Z^{10}$ into e^{U} .
- 2. Small valued lags in u_{τ} affect mainly the small lags in the wavelet and the decon filter.
- 3. The bubble is at the large lags; the Ricker wavelet is at the small.



Main facts about lag-log u(t) space

- 1. Large valued lags in u_{τ} affect only large lags in the wavelet IFT(e^U) or the decon filter IFT(e^{-U}). Why? Put $U = 1 + Z^{10}$ into e^{U} .
- 2. Small valued lags in u_{τ} affect mainly the small lags in the wavelet and the decon filter.
- 3. The bubble is at the large lags; the Ricker wavelet is at the small. Here comes the innovation.
- 4. We are going to mess with the small lags. Ricker has no phase. No odd part, no phase.



The innovation

Identify the odd part of the lag-log space.

Weight it down at small lags.

That gives even response (Ricker-like) at small lags.



Why does it work?

Deconvolve with the right wavelet.

Then seismogram polarity becomes clear.





Before

There are also the usual issues estimating spectra.

1.2

1.4

No parameter tuning (allow 60ms precursor)



25

l'inne(second:

I simply did

one filter,

all traces.

0.2

0.4

0.& 1.2 Time(seconds)) CONCLUSION (I)

What's good about this

Predictive deconvolution makes the assumption that the inverse source wavelet is causal, which is untrue for Ricker wavelets.

Thus marine seismology is ripe for a revolution, after which polarity should be routinely observable.

It's a starting solution and a regularization for inverse theory.



CONCLUSION (II)

What's bad about this

It makes the false assumption that a white output is desirable.

It ignores sparseness as a characteristic of much real data.

It makes the false assumption that echo data may be gained before filtering.



That's all there is to it!

The code is listed in the article. (six lines added to the textbook code)

Enjoy!



p.s. If you make any examples, I'd love to see them.



The end...



The end...

The last practice talk for this talk is available at youtube.com

http://sep.stanford.edu/sep/jon/



