

Unz Review blocked by Facebook

<http://sep.stanford.edu/sep/jon/unz.pdf>

By [Jon Claerbout](#), May 3, 2020



I frequently read articles at the Unz Review. Its masthead defines it: “A Collection of Interesting, Important, and Controversial Perspectives Largely Excluded from the American Mainstream Media.” Its owner, Ron Unz, here reports [its blacklisting at Facebook](#).

The Unz Review is free online. It contains essays from about 30 diverse essayists. [See them here](#). I once read most of them, but now there are far too many. Unz himself in a series he calls [American Pravda](#) provides links to about two dozen articles explaining why it was necessary for him to provide The Unz Review. For example, read what we learned from the Russians after they opened the Soviet archives. You won't get that from our mainstream media.

The Unz Review also sells [about 25 books Banned at Amazon](#).

In American history, many small literary magazines have gone out of business with history today becoming largely defined by those that survived. [Unz provides 200 major periodicals with 400,000 authors](#). He names some. Go visit some!

- **The North American Review** (America's oldest literary magazine)
- **The Century Magazine** (highly influential one hundred years ago)
- **The American Mercury** (dominated the early decades of the 20th century)
- **The Saturday Review** (dominated the middle decades of the 20th century)
- **The Reporter** (a leading opinion magazine of the 1950s and 1960s)
- **IF Stone's Weekly** (a leading leftist voice of the 1950s and 1960s)
- **Encounter** (flagship of the early neoconservative movements)

More are listed at the foregoing link. Unz says historians will thank him for preserving these alternative views.

I am saddened to say that today's Wikipedia page for Ron Unz denigrates him while it does not report his selfless heroism. If we cannot deal with the past, how can we deal with the present?

-
1. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

