## The Dichotomy



and predict that Gassmann's equations are low frequency (quasi-static)

$$K^{sat} = \Lambda_{sat}(G_{dr})$$
 and  $C$ 

 $d G^{sat} = G_{dr}.$ 

predicts instead that low frequencies), for apparently the same problem, But, the effective medium theory (which is also for

$$K_{sat}^{eff} = \Lambda_{sat}(G_{sat}^{eff})$$
 and  $G_{sat}^{eff} = \Gamma_{sat}(F_{sat}^{eff}).$ 

## The Dichotomy (2)



and  $\Gamma$  are monotonic, it is easy to show that, whenever  $K_f > K_{air} \simeq 0$ , we must have Furthermore, because the canonical functions  $\Lambda$ 

$$G^{sat} < G^{eff}_{sat}$$

and, therefore,

$$K^{sat} < K_{sat}^{eff}$$
.

## The Dichotomy (3)



frequency theories clearly differ? Even if the numerical we might expect from other considerations. attenuation of sound waves in a poroelastic system than difference, if true, guarantees that there is more because of Kramers-Kronig relations. So this such systems. Dispersion also implies attenuation, real difference) shows that there must be dispersion in difference (assuming both theories are correct, so it is a difference is not great, the mere existence of the How do we explain that the predictions of these two low

## The Dichotomy (4)



equilibrate throughout the medium, however long it to apply at such low frequencies. The difference lies in effective medium theory is clearly not formulated applies at extremely low frequencies, whereas the time for equilibration to happen. equilibrating, but does not necessarily allow enough takes – perhaps very long times indeed. The effective Gassmann allows the fluid pressure sufficient time to how fluid pressure is treated in the two approaches. Gassmann approach is really quasi-static, and therefore medium theory does not preclude the fluid from The physical reason for this dispersion is that the