THE PRE-STACK MIGRATION OF PROFILES

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOPHYSICS

AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES

OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Allan Jacobs

November 1982

© Copyright 1982

by Allan Jacobs

printed as Stanford Exploration Project Report No. 34

by permission of the author

Copying for all internal purposes of sponsors
of the Stanford Exploration Project is permitted.

The Pre-stack Migration of Profiles

Allan Jacobs, Ph.D.
Stanford University, 1982

Abstract

Conventional processing of seismic data, consisting of the sequence of normal moveout correction, stacking, and migration, often yields a good image of the earth. The quality of this image will be degraded by lateral variations of acoustic velocity and by sparse sampling of the shot axis. The degradation appears as lower signal-to-noise, misplacement of seismic reflectors in space, and blurriness.

The answer to the problem posed by sparse sampling of the data along the shot axis is to work in physical coordinates and form separate, independent images of the earth from common shot profiles. The two shot profile migration techniques that are discussed here are denoted the Cartesian and the NMO-coordinate methods. The Cartesian method is a solution to the problem of lateral velocity variations as well. The algorithms migrate shot profiles and then stack the images.

The Cartesian algorithm downward continues both an upgoing wave recorded at the geophones of a profile and a downgoing wave originating at the shot point. The downward continuation is done in a Cartesian coordinate system. An image is obtained from the two downward continued waves by taking the sum of the frequency domain quotients of the upgoing wave by the downgoing wave.

The Cartesian algorithm for the downward continuation of profiles must be able to handle large propagation angles about the vertical. Therefore, a high sampling rate along the geophone axis and high order migration operators are a necessity. These are developed using a continued fraction approximation to the square root that appears in the one-way wave equation.

Spatial aliasing can also be a problem along the geophone axis. The Cartesian migration algorithm, for instance, requires dense sampling of the data along the geophone axis because it uses g-derivatives. In the absence of steep dips and strong lateral velocity variations, one way to ease sampling requirements along the geophone axis is to migrate in an NMO- based coordinate system. The algorithm that downward continues shot profiles in an NMO-coordinate frame is closely related to the commonly applied steps of normal moveout correction and time-to-depth conversion.

Introduction

A reflection seismic experiment consists of surface recordings of the response of the earth to a known source of sound waves. Reflection interpreters use the recordings to build models of the subsurface that are consistent with the data. Among the tools that interpreters bring to this task are a set of algorithms that process seismic data sets. Two conditions that degrade the performance of these algorithms are insufficient sampling of the data along the shot axis and lateral velocity variations.

Lateral velocity variations occur in a number of situations that are of economic or scientific significance. A dipping sea floor is a particularly common example. This instance of lateral velocity variation is characterized by its geometric simplicity and by the fact that the acoustic velocity of the material above the contact (sea water) is known. The overthrust faults in the Rocky Mountains have another geological structure that juxtaposes high and low velocity material; this time low velocity sediments are placed below high velocity igneous rocks and the velocity function on both sides of the contact is unknown. Detection of lateral velocity variation is important in the identification of petroleum traps. For example, carbonate reefs and stratigraphic traps can be found through the observation of travel time anomalies of finite lateral extent. This thesis provides an accurate means of getting images of acoustic waves when material properties vary laterally, but is only suggestive of solutions to the problem of estimating the magnitude and location of these variations.

Insufficient sampling of the data along the shot axis is, and probably will be, more common than sparse sampling of the data along the geophone axis. The

number of geophones per experiment and their density in the field have increased ever since the first seismic surveys, and the pace of the trend is increasing today. On the other hand, the number of shots one can set off in a given time period is not likely to decrease, because an irreducible amount of time must be allotted for the earth to quiet down between each of the shotpoints. The basic point is that if a fixed area must be surveyed in a fixed number of months, then the average shot spacing has a minimum that is not reducible by technological improvements.

A typical sequence of processing steps for seismic data, in the order of their application, often includes the application of a normal moveout correction to a suite of common midpoint (CMP) gathers, summation over offset to get a stacked section, and migration. In figure 0.1, normal moveout correction and stacking work on data sets of constant midpoint y, where s indexes the lateral position of the shots, g is the lateral coordinate of the geophones, and $y = (s + g)/2$. Similarly, migration works on data sets indexed by y, and for which the half-offset h is a constant.

Migration is a process for obtaining images from stacked sections by computationally sinking into the ground all the shots and geophones of a seismic line. For this purpose, a stacked section is treated as a zero offset section recording of an upgoing wave U. Such a wavefield can be downward continued with a single square root equation, and an image obtained by stripping off the zero travel time plane (Loewenthal, Lu, Roberson, and Sherwood, 1976).

In regions where subsurface dips are too large and variable a modification of the conventional process, called pre-stack migration, may be performed. Pre-stack migration is usually described as a sequence of operations, resembling the post-stack migration operation, on constant offset sections. In practice, pre-

stack migration may be applied as a small correction to the conventional sequence of processing operations.

The conventional processing sequence and its pre-stack variants all assume that the seismic experiment records only an upgoing wave U, and that an image can be formed from this upgoing wave by downward continuing the shots and geophones of a seismic line into the ground (Yilmaz, 1979). These processes obtain images from that part of the downward continued data set that lies at zero offset and zero travel time. For theoretical purposes, the downward continuation can be thought of as a solution of the partial differential equation called the double square root equation.

The techniques explored here are migration algorithms that differ from the conventional sequence in four ways. First, the schemes introduced here work in shot-geophone coordinates whereas the conventional sequence works in midpoint-offset space. Second, both an upgoing wave recorded at the geophones, U, and a downgoing wave caused by the shot, D, are dealt with. These two waves are downward continued independently on separate computational meshes. The conventional process uses only an upgoing wave. Third, the new procedures downward continue with single square root equations. No use is made of the double square root equation that conventional processing is based on. Fourth, profile downward continuation uses the time coincidence of the upgoing wave, U, and the downgoing wave, D, as an imaging condition (Claerbout, 1970, and Claerbout, 1971). The conventional process strips off the zero travel-time plane from a downward continued upgoing wave.

Velocity analysis after profile migration is different from conventional velocity analysis in that it does not make any geometrical assumptions. Though not pursued here, images from correctly migrated common shot profiles should be



FIGURE 0.1. Shot-geophone coordinates. The s and g-axes index the spatial locations of the sources and receivers, respectively, of the traces of a seismic line. The finite dimensions of the seismic line and cable imply that all of the recorded data occurs in the outlined parallelepiped. The data in this quadrilateral is usually processed in offset-midpoint coordinates. The variables y and h denote midpoint and half-offset, respectively. This study concerns itself with processes that work on common shot gathers, slices of data parallel to the g-axis.

independent of illumination angle. Consider a suite of correctly migrated and imaged common shot profiles. Reorder this processed data as a suite of migrated common geophone gathers. Each such common geophone gather will contain events that are linear and horizontal and no others. Deviations from zero-dip linearity can be used to detect and (possibly) estimate velocity anomalies.

Two techniques for the downward continuation of profiles are discussed in this thesis. These algorithms will be denoted the Cartesian method and the NMO-coordinate method. An advantage that both the Cartesian and NMO-coordinate

downward continuation algorithms have over conventional processing is that they do not require dense sampling along the shot axis. Profile migration avoids artifacts caused by subsampling along the shot coordinate by working with traces sharing a common shotpoint and therefore does not take derivatives with respect to s. For example, insufficient sampling becomes a problem in areas where the subsurface dips are so non-uniform that two hyperboloidal events on a CMP gather cross one another. In this case, simple dip correction of the rms velocity is not possible and a pre-stack migration algorithm, such as a profile imaging scheme, is appropriate. Most pre-stack algorithms work in midpoint-offset coordinates and apply a dip correction directly to the data instead of a moveout velocity function (Yilmaz, 1979). These schemes generally form a dip estimate for this correction by differentiating with respect to the midpoint coordinate y. On a constant offset section from a data set in which the shot spacing, Δs, is greater than the geophone sampling interval, the sampling rate for the y axis is proportional to Δs. Thus, if s is too sparsely sampled, then unaliased derivatives with respect to y cannot be taken. Aliasing artifacts can be expected in the output of a pre-stack migration algorithm that works in midpoint-offset coordinates.

The Cartesian method works in the rectilinear coordinate system defined by the lateral position, depth, and time axes. The method is the conceptually simplest way to downward continue a profile. The algorithm images profiles by separately downward continuing an upgoing wave recorded at the geophones and a downgoing wave caused by the shot. These two downward continuations are based on implementations of the single square root equation. There are many well-known techniques for implementing this differential equation in the special case where the acoustic velocity function is a function of z alone, so the problem of implementation in a laterally varying medium is taken up in this thesis. One

problem that was encountered was the generation of migration artifacts caused by sparse sampling of the data along the geophone axis.

While retaining the ability to image data sparsely sampled along the shot axis, the NMO-coordinate method has an advantage over the Cartesian method of profile imaging in that it admits sparse sampling along the geophone axis, too. The NMO-coordinate scheme for obtaining images from profiles works in a curvilinear coordinate system. The seismic response over a zero-dip, planar interface is a horizontal line in the new coordinate system. Like the conventional processing sequence, the NMO-coordinate method relies on a normal moveout correction step to do most of the work involved in forming an image. The application of NMO to a profile also reduces its bandwidth along the geophone wavenumber axis, provided dips in the subsurface are small. The size of the temporal and spatial shifts performed by the migration algorithm increase with increasing dip. Thus, the NMO-coordinate method is appropriate in regions where dips are gentle. The coordinate system differs from those used earlier (Claerbout and Doherty, 1972) because one axis is indexed by a radial coordinate. THe wave equation for a constant or z-variable medium in a radial coordinate system has to do less work shifting acoustic waves laterally than it does in other coordinate systems. Radial coordinate systems lead to natural side boundary conditions, too.

Chapters 1 and 2 contain descriptions of the Cartesian and NMO-coordinate algorithms, respectively. Both of these chapters contain applications of profile migration to a marine seismic line from the Gulf of Alaska. Later chapters pick up the theoretical development of profile migration schemes. In particular, the Cartesian method of profile migration requires an operator that remains valid over a wide range of stepouts in a medium with laterally varying velocity. Thus, the

derivation of the single square root equation and its approximation by a continued fraction expansion are taken up in chapters 3 and 4. In the process, a number of fitting parameters are introduced. A discussion of methods for picking these parameters is found in chapter 5. Chapter 6 extends the single square root theory to cover the propagation of pseudo-compressional and pseudo-shear waves in a hexagonally anisotropic earth.

Acknowledgements

The Stanford Exploration Project provided the setting for almost all of the work presented in this thesis. It is certain to be one of the most stimulating research environments that I will experience. Of the project members, the one that I am most indebted to is my advisor, Jon Claerbout. His guidance was valuable during both the research and writing of this thesis.

Readers of SEP reports will notice that liberal use is made of work by a long list of students at Stanford. Among these are Rob Clayton, Bob Godfrey, Dave Hale, Einar Kjartansson, Jeff Thorson, and John Toldi. Informal discussions with Larry Morley were helpful during almost all of my stay at the school. Fabio Rocca contributed heavily to the chapter on radial/NMO coordinate systems.

The central ideas presented in this thesis owe much to three more individuals. The first is Alan Snyder who revived the idea of migrating common shot profiles while he was a student at Stanford. The second is Francis Muir who started me on this project. His thoughts have been central to much of the migration theory that is collected in this work. The last is a researcher in the People's Republic of China by the name of Ma Zaitan. His research was essential for the high order migration of data in a a laterally varying medium.

Funding for my stay at Stanford was provided by the sponsors of the Stanford Exploration Project and by the National Science Foundation. Project sponsors were also responsible for some valuable suggestions and advise which strongly influenced the course of my thesis work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract iv
Introduction vi
Acknowledgements xii
Table of contents xiii
List of figures xv
List of tables xvi

Chapter 1: The Cartesian Method of Profile Migration
1.0. Introduction 1
1.1. Downward continuation of the upgoing wave 1
1.2. Methods for the downward continuation of downgoing wavefields 3
1.3. Imaging by time coincidence of upgoing and downgoing waves 7
1.4. Application of the Cartesian method to a marine profile 8

Chapter 2: NMO-Based Methods for Obtaining Images form Profiles
2.0. Introduction 12
2.1. Normal moveout and time-to-depth correction 12
2.2. The radial/NMO coordinate system for imaging profiles 18
2.3. The radial/NMO 15-degree wave equation 22
2.4. The imaging condition in radial/NMO coordinates 25
2.5. Point scatters in radial/NMO coordinates 27
2.6. The transformation and migration of profiles 31
2.7. A comparison of the two shot profile migration procedures 37
2.8. Radial/NMO coordinates for a vertically varying medium 41

Chapter 3: The Algebra of Continued Fractions
3.0. Introduction 47
3.1. Continued fractions with matrix coefficients 47
3.2. The fundamental recurrence for continued fractions 50
3.3. Algebras of diagonalizable matrices with a common set of eigenvectors 52
3.4. Continued fractions with complete commutative matrix coefficients 53

Chapter 4: High Order Finite Difference Migration
4.0. Introduction 55
4.1. The pressure wave equation and acoustic media 55
4.2. Derivatives and causality 56
4.3. One-way anti-causal wave equations 60

4.4. Ma's method 64
4.5. The discretization of the focusing equation 67
4.6. Reassembling the pieces for a z -step 69
4.7. Side boundaries 70
4.8. A stability analysis for one-way wave equation operators 73

Chapter 5: Magic Numbers
5.0. Introduction 77
5.1. Dispersion relations, dip filtering and ψ 77
5.2. Second derivative approximations and β 83
5.3. Coefficients for Ma's method 85
5.4. Appendix - The calculation of derivatives with respect to Re $[\psi]$ 86

Chapter 6: Pseudo-P and Pseudo-S Waves in a Hexagonally Anisotropic Earth
6.0. Introduction 89
6.1. The eigenmodes of an anistoropic, elastic medium 89
6.2. Downward continuation of pseudo-S waves 95

Bibliography 97

LIST OF FIGURES

Introduction

0.1. Shot-geophone coordinates viii

Chapter 1: The Cartesian Method of Profile Migration

1.1. A marine shot profile 4
1.2. Cartesian downward continuation and UD^* imaging 6
1.3. Cartesian downward continuation and $UD^*/|D|$ imaging 9

Chapter 2: The NMO/Radial Method of Profile Migration

2.1. Near offset section 13
2.2. Profiles from the Gulf of Alaska line 15
2.3. RMS velocity function for the Gulf of Alaska line 17
2.4. NMO corrected profiles 19
2.5. Radial/NMO coordinates 21
2.6. Point scatterer impulse response 24
2.7. Downward continuation of a point response 26
2.8. Input profile 30
2.9. NMO correction 30
2.10. Time-to-depth correction 32
2.11. Inverse NMO correction 32
2.12. Padding and extrapolation 34
2.13. Radial/NMO coordinate mapping 34
2.14. A migrated image plane in radial coordinates 36
2.15. The image plane in the output coordinate system 38
2.16. Profiles migrated with the radial/NMO method 40
2.17. Cartesian and NMO-coordinate gained outputs 42

Chapter 4: High Order Finite Difference Migration

4.1. Impulse response of the 45-degree migration operator 71
4.2. Impulse response for Ma's second operator 73

Chapter 5: Magic Numbers

5.1. Evanescent and propagating zones 78
5.2. Second derivative error 82

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 2: NMO-Based Methods for Obtaining Images from Profiles
2.1. Primary events on the migration images" 37

Chapter 4: High Order Finite Difference Migration
4.1. A finite difference z -step schematic 70

Chapter 5: Magic Numbers
5.1. Optimum values for Re $[\psi]$ 79
5.2. Optimum values for β 83