

October 10, 2011

Divergence compensation for bidirectional deconvolution

Qiang Fu

ABSTRACT

We examine three approach to apply divergence compensation in bidirectional deconvolution and see the synthetic example to demonstrate the impotance of divergence compensation.

INTRODUCTION

By bidirectional deconvolution, we hope to restore the seismic reflectivity coefficients seirse. To get the correct amplitude of the reflectivity, we need to recover the amplitude decay versus time caused by the divergence effect of the seismic wave propagation. Usually we use a exponential function of time as a weighting function to boost the later time data to compensate the divergence effect. But to apply the compansation function correctly will not be so simple. Next let us see three different approach for proforming the divergence compansation.

DATA WEIGHTING

One intuitive way to compensate divergece effect is data weighting or the companation before deconvolution. Assume $d(t)$ is the data sample at time t , and $c(t)$ is a exponential compensation function of time t , we get a new compensated data $d_c(t)$ as

$$d_c(t) = d(t)c(t). \quad (1)$$

Then we can perform our deconvolution scheme on this compensated data $d_c(t)$. Although this process looks intuitive and simple, it has problem that it may change the wavelet waveform. Let us see why this wavelet waveform change happens.

For a convolution model, a seismic data trace d can be defined as a convolution of a wavelet w with a reflectivity series r . This can be written as

$$d = r * w, \quad (2)$$

where $*$ denotes convolution.

If we look at 2, we will find for one data sample in seismic data trace, $d(t)$, the “travel time” t of it in fact is not the true travel time.

$$t_d = t_r + t_w \quad (3)$$

This time (I call it data time t_d) is actually the sum of two type of times, reflectivity time t_r (which is more close to the concept “travel time”) and wavelet time t_w . The reflectivity time t_r is the time in which the source energy propagate from the source to the receiver. Consequently, the compensation function should be a function of this reflectivity time t_r . With in one reflectivity event, the reflectivity time t_r is the same for all data sameples within this event and the compensation function should be the same also. But obviously, the data time t_d is different. This is why we will change wavelet waveform by using simple data weighting. Especially for low frequency component, the wavelet time t_w within one wavelet is not small, thus the waveform change could not be ignored.

RESULT WEIGHTING

We do not want to change the wavelet waveform, especially for low frequency component. An improved approach is result weighting, or the compensation after deconvolution. For this approach, We perform the deconvolution on the data without data weighting, and we compensate the divergence effect on the reflectivity serise after the deconvlution. Because the deconvolution process will shrink the wavelet in to a spike or at least a narrow waveform comparing with the original one, the wavelet time t_w will be elminated or reduced by deconvolution. On the deconvolution result, the time t of the samples belong to the same reflectivity event will be same or at least similar. So aapply a time compensation function will not change (or not change too much) the wavelet waveform. If we have noise free data, this approach may be perfect. However, in real sesimic survey data, there is significant noise. The noise will create the imperfect deconvolution result. And in the deconvolution process, the data in early and later part data will not be equally honored. The panely function of the deconvolution proces will see the early portion data more important than the late portion data due to the large amplitude of the early data. That approach will take the risk of ignoring the information in the late time data.

MODEL WEIGHING

We have examined comansation before and after deconvolution process, and neither of them seems to be a good choise. So the only correct answer for compensation is to apply it within the deconvolution process. The deconvolution is a process to estimate a deconvolution filter to “cancel” the wavelet and to restore the reflectivity

series using only information contained in the data. It can be represented as

$$r = d * f, \quad (4)$$

where f is the deconvolution filter (or inverse wavelet w^{-1}).

We use a optimization scheme to find the deconvolution filter f .

$$\mathbf{r} = f * d \quad (5)$$

And we apply divergence compensation in this scheme.

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{C} (f * d) \quad (6)$$

where c is the compensation function.

Because the matrix multiplication is not exchangeable, this scheme are not same as

$$\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{C} d) * f \quad (7)$$

SYNTHETIC DATA EXAMPLE