VELOCITY STACK AND SLANT STACK INVERSION METHODS

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOPHYSICS

AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES

OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Jeffrey R. Thorson

May 1984

© Copyright 1984

by Jeffrey R. Thorson

printed as Stanford Exploration Project Report No. 39

by permission of the author

Copying for all internal purposes of sponsors
of the Stanford Exploration Project is permitted.

Velocity Stack and Slant Stack Inversion Methods

Jeffrey R. Thorson
Stanford University, 1984

Abstract

An important step central to the analysis of reflection seismic data is NMO (normal moveout) and stacking. This process involves the summation of seismic data over paths represented by a family of hyperbolas. It is a linear transformation, essentially singular, and maps the data into what might be called a *velocity space*: a two-dimensional plane indexed by time and velocity.

The examination of the data in velocity space is useful for the analysis of sub-surface velocities and the filtering out of undesired coherent events (multiples). But the filtering step can only be applied if an approximate inverse to the NMO and stack operation is available. Current standard processing makes the implicit assumption that the adjoint $\mathbf{L}^T$ (in which $\mathbf{L}^T$ is defined to be NMO and stacking) and its adjoint $\mathbf{L}$ are an inverse pair. Replacing $\mathbf{L}^T$ with a closer estimate of the inverse to $\mathbf{L}$ makes possible more accurate velocity filtering and a better discrimination between signal and noise in velocity space.

The task of designing an estimated inverse to $\mathbf{L}$ is a generalization of the inversion problem in computerized tomography: deconvolving out the point-spread function after back projection. The problem is complicated by missing data, for data can only be recorded within a limited spatial aperture on the earth's surface.

Two approaches are taken here to estimate the inverse to $\mathbf{L}$. The first approach is to design the generalized inverse, in which the components of the data residing in the null space of $\mathbf{L}$ are constrained to be zero. We derive the

generalized inverse for the case of an arbitrary spatial aperture. As it happens, the generalized inverse for a finite-aperture problem is equivalent to the generalized inverse derived from the corresponding unrestricted-aperture case. No advantage is thus gained in using the finite-aperture filter in lieu of the less expensive unrestricted-aperture filter.

In the second approach, components of the data in the null space of L are allowed to be nonzero: they are to be constrained by a priori information on the solution in velocity space. Starting from a MAP (maximum a posteriori) estimator, a system of equations can be set up in which the a priori information is incorporated into a sparseness, or *parsimony*, measure: the output of the inverse operator should be locally focused to obtain the best possible resolution in velocity space. The size of the resulting nonlinear system of equations is immense, but a few iterations with a gradient descent algorithm has proven adequate to obtain a "good" solution. This so-called *stochastic inverse* is superior to the generalized inverse, with respect to the resolution of the resulting image in velocity space.

The theory developed here may be equally well applied to other large, sparse linear operators. The generalized inverse and stochastic inverse of the *slant stack* operator (a special case of the Radon transform), developed in a parallel manner, have very similar behavior to the corresponding estimated inverses of the NMO and stack operator.

Approved for publication:

By _____
For Major Department

By _____
Dean of Graduate Studies & Research

Acknowledgments

My thanks go to the sponsors of the Stanford Exploration Project for their support during my stay at Stanford, and to the sponsoring companies' representatives who, at the annual Tahoe meetings, were a source of ideas. Among the sponsors, Western Geophysical, Amoco and Arco generously contributed data that was used in this thesis.

Talks with Dave Hale on the subject of velocity stacking were of great value. Bert Jacobs provided some key ideas on MAP estimation and variance as a random variable. Some of the others who have had an influence, through discussion, were Rob Clayton, Mat Yedlin, Larry Morley, Shuki Ronen, Bill Harlan and Paul Fowler.

Rick Ottolini and Dave Hale wrote most of the software I used to plot the illustrations. My thanks to Fanny Toldi for her editing work, and to Pat Bartz for typing.

Finally I wish to extend my thanks to my advisor, Jon Claerbout, as a main source of inspiration at the early stages of my work, and a source of patience at the end.

Table of Contents

Abstract iii
Acknowledgments v
List of Figures viii
List of Tables ix

Chapter I: Invertibility of Velocity Stacks

1.1 Preface 1
1.2 Velocity stacks 1
1.3 Velocity space 3
1.4 Designing a velocity transform 5
1.5 Limitations 11
1.6 Overview of the remaining chapters 14
1.7 Motivation 15

Chapter II: The Influence of Missing Data on Inverse Filtering

2.1 Missing data 17
2.2 Examples of missing data restoration 18
2.3 Stacking: velocity stacks and slant stacks 20
2.4 Characterizing missing data by projections 25
2.5 Adjoint operators 28
2.6 Two inverse problems 31
2.7 Pseudoinverses 35
2.8 Summary 41

Chapter III: Slant Stack Generalized Inverses

3.1 Introduction 43
3.2 Slant stacking 43
3.3 Calculating the slant stack pseudoinverse 45
3.4 Equivalence of the finite offset pseudoinverse and the rho filter 52
3.5 Illustrations of pseudoinverse filtering 56
3.6 Summary 58

Chapter IV: Velocity Stack Generalized Inverses

4.1 The problem 59
4.2 The impulse response of $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{P}_d\mathbf{L}$ 61
4.3 The generalized inverse in the p^2, τ^2 domain 63
4.4 Infinite-aperture versus finite-aperture filters 66
4.5 Synthetic and real data examples 69
4.6 Summary: use of the generalized inverse 73
4.A Appendix: derivation of $(\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{P}_d\mathbf{L})^+$ 74

Chapter V: Velocity Stack Stochastic Inversion

5.1 Stochastic inverses 77
5.2 Parsimonious inversion and MAP estimation 79
5.3 Parsimony criteria 82

5.4 The multidimensional parsimony functional 91
5.5 Scale invariance and entropy 94
5.6 Gradient descent algorithms 98
5.7 Synthetic data inversion 105
5.8 Real data inversion: Amoco Grand Banks 108
5.9 Real data inversion: Western peg-legs 115
5.10 Real data inversion: Western surface waves 119
5.11 Summary: conditions favorable to inverse velocity stacking 128
5.A Appendix: equivalence of spectral entropy to statistical entropy 128

Chapter VI: Slant Stack Stochastic Inversion

6.1 Introduction 131
6.2 Stochastic inversion on a vertical seismic profile 132

References 144

List of Figures

1.1 Velocity stacking 4
1.2 Comparison of the pseudoinverse and stochastic inverse 7
1.3 Comparison of the stochastic inverse and the velocity stack 9
1.4 Reconstructing the original data 12
1.5 Velocity analyses 13
1.6 A comparison of various data reconstructions 14

2.1 Two data sets 21
2.2 Sparseness 34

3.1 Slant stack impulse response $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{P}_q\mathbf{L}$ 48
3.2 Responses of $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{P}_q\mathbf{L}$ and $(\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{P}_q\mathbf{L})^+$ to a sinc function 51
3.3 The resolving kernels of the pseudoinverse 57

4.1 Velocity stacking impulse responses 64
4.2 Velocity stack of a synthetic gather 70
4.3 Generalized inverses of synthetic data 71
4.4 The generalized inverse applied to a common-midpoint gather 72

5.1 Parsimony gradient for the continuous-variance case 87
5.2 Parsimony gradient for the discrete-variance case 89
5.3 Maximum entropy versus orthodox statistics 97
5.4 Hypothetical behavior of the norm of $\mathbf{Q}_k\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_k$ 105
5.5 Inversion on a synthetic noise-free model 107
5.6 Inversion on a synthetic noisy model 108
5.7 Amoco Grand Banks: data **d** and **Lu** 109
5.8 Amoco Grand Banks: **u** and $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{d}$ 110
5.9 Amoco Grand Banks: comparison of data with residual 111
5.10 Amoco Grand Banks: ray tracing model 112
5.11 Amoco Grand Banks: the two possible peg-leg paths 113
5.12 Amoco Grand Banks: synthetic gather 114
5.13 Amoco Grand Banks: velocity stacking function 115
5.14 Amoco Grand Banks: stack of the velocity panels **u** 116
5.15 Amoco Grand Banks: uniformly weighted stack 117
5.16 Western peg-legs: interpolated shot gather 120
5.17 Western peg-legs: separation of peg-leg paths by velocity 121
5.18 Western peg-legs: high velocity peg-leg paths 122
5.19 Western peg-legs: filtered shot gather 123
5.20 Western surface waves: shot gather **d** 124
5.21 Western surface waves: comparison of $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{d}$ and **u** 125
5.22 Western surface waves: velocity stack **Lu** 126
5.23 Western surface waves: residual $\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{Lu}$ 127

6.1 An Arco vertical seismic profile 133
6.2 Slant stack of a window of the VSP 135
6.3 Aliasing artifacts on a synthetic VSP 136
6.4 Stochastic inverse of the synthetic slant stack 137
6.5 Stochastic inverse of the Arco slant stacked VSP 138
6.6 Rho-filtered slant stack 139
6.7 Restoration of one window of the VSP 140

6.8 Stochastic inverses of five VSP windows 141
6.9 An extrapolated VSP 142

List of Tables

3.1 Slant stack filters 53
4.1 Velocity stack filters 67
5.1 Projected gradient descent algorithm 102