WIDE-ANGLE DIFFRACTED MULTIPLE REFLECTIONS

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOPHYSICS AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Ву

Raul Estevez

May, 1977

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Principal Adviser)

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Approved for the University Committee on Graduate Studies:

WIDE-ANGLE DIFFRACTED MULTIPLE REFLECTIONS

Raul Estevez, Ph.D. Stanford University, 1977

Theory and observation show that multiple reflections migrate differently than primaries. An effective scheme of multiple suppression must correctly model their migration. D. C. Riley (1976) showed how the two-dimensional wave equation can be used to model diffracted multiple reflections and he developed a method of reflector imaging and multiple removal for the case of data with small shot-to-geophone offset (vertical plane-wave stacks). In this thesis, his theory has been extended to slanted plane-wave stacks. As a consequence, seismograms with larger offset, as well as variations of depth and reflection coefficients within the multiple paths, are correctly handled. For example, synthetic seismograms show that travel times of peg-legs of a given order (1) are equal in horizontal layer models, (2) can be somewhat different because of migration effects, and (3) can have large differences because of the combination of topography and offset. Like Riley's theory, the present wide offset diffracted multiple theory is exactly invertible, thereby, in principle, providing a means of processing field data.

Studies with marine data and synthetic data have shown that routine application will require accurate shot waveform estimation. The same imaging algorithms used in the general theory, and especially Riley's Noah deconvolution, indicate the possibility of estimating the signature directly from the data. Optimization techniques, based on the minimization of the recorded seismogram's power, allow further improvement of the initial estimates.

Approved for publication:

| Ву | |
|----|---------------------------|
| | For Geophysics Department |
| Ву | |
| | Dean of Graduate Studies |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was possible through the financial and technical support of the Universidad de los Andes of Venezuela and the member sponsors of the Stanford Exploration Project. I wish to thank both for their understanding and interest in my work. One of our sponsors, the United States Geological Survey, kindly provided the field data used in this thesis.

At a personal and academic level, I have numerous reasons to express my deep gratitude to my advisor, Jon F. Claerbout. It was he, through his visionary work, who motivated me to come to Stanford.

Moreover, he was the person that with an expert academic guidance and unusual devotion taught me most of the geophysics I know.

The critical comments of Dave Boore, George Thompson and Amos Nur, were of great value to the final presentation of the work.

Walter Lynn and Robert Clayton, in the midst of tremendous academic pressure, miraculously found time to cope with my poor English and help me in the editing of the thesis. Their critical suggestions considerably improved my original manuscripts. The remaining errors are not their fault, but probably last minute additions of my own. The rest of my coworkers, Don Riley, Phil Schultz, Terry Fulp, and many others, always had the patience and understanding to stop their own work and help me to clear confusing moments of my work.

Finally, I wish to thank our dear secretary, Sue Erlin, for her professional and careful typing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | tract | iii |
|------------|--|---|
| Ack | nowledgements | iv |
| Tab: | le of Contents | v |
| 1. | General Introduction | 1 |
| | PART I - THE THEORETICAL PROBLEM | |
| 2. | A Ray Approximation Theory for Multiple Modelling and Suppression | 8 |
| | 2.1. Noah Slanted Deconvolution | 13 |
| | 2.2. Synthetic Examples | 21 |
| 3. | The Wave Equation Approach to Multiples Modelling and Suppression | 30 |
| | 3.1. Uncoupled Equations and Coordinate Transformations 3.2. Coupled Equations | 32 34 |
| | 3.3. Computer Algorithms | 39 |
| | 3.4. Synthetic Examples | 45 |
| | PART II - THE PRACTICAL PROBLEM | |
| 4. | Wave Stacks | 49 |
| | | |
| | 4.1. Wave Stacks | 50 |
| | 4.1. Wave Stacks4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking | 50 52 |
| | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum | |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking | 52 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example | 52 62 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation | 52 62 72 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation | 52 62 72 73 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation 5.2. Gating the Primaries and the Multiples | 52 62 72 73 76 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation 5.2. Gating the Primaries and the Multiples 5.3. The Estimation of the Filters | 52 62 72 73 76 79 83 90 |
| 5. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation 5.2. Gating the Primaries and the Multiples 5.3. The Estimation of the Filters 5.4. Synthetic and Field Data Examples 5.5. An Optimization Technique for Multiple | 52 62 72 73 76 79 83 90 |
| 6. | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation 5.2. Gating the Primaries and the Multiples 5.3. The Estimation of the Filters 5.4. Synthetic and Field Data Examples 5.5. An Optimization Technique for Multiple Suppression and Waveform Estimate | 52 62 72 73 76 79 83 90 |
| 6. Refe | 4.2. Slant Stacks and Intervals of Optimum Stacking 4.3. A Field Example Source Waveform Estimation 5.1. Slanted Noah Waveform Estimation 5.2. Gating the Primaries and the Multiples 5.3. The Estimation of the Filters 5.4. Synthetic and Field Data Examples 5.5. An Optimization Technique for Multiple Suppression and Waveform Estimat Summary and Conclusions | 52 62 72 73 76 79 83 90 ion |