

DECONVOLUTION WITH SPATIAL CONSTRAINTS

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOPHYSICS

AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES

OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

Stewart A. Levin

September 1987

© Copyright 1987
by Stewart A. Levin

printed as Stanford Exploration Project Report No. 54
by permission of the author

Copying for all internal purposes of sponsors
of the Stanford Exploration Project is permitted.

DECONVOLUTION WITH SPATIAL CONSTRAINTS

Stewart A. Levin, Ph.D.
Stanford University, 1987

Surface-consistent methods have proven to be useful processing tools for improving the quality of seismic reflection data. In these methods, data corrections are tied to the surface locations of the seismic sources and receivers used in the original reflection survey. By constraining the number of free parameters in a physically meaningful way, these methods reduce statistical uncertainty and improve signal to noise. In this thesis I tackle two difficulties that arise in surface-consistent applications of deconvolution, one algorithmic and one conceptual.

The algorithmic problem is that published methods for surface-consistent deconvolution are simple to understand and easy to use, but yield at best uncertain data quality. The basic idea of spatial averaging is sound, it is the implementation that has gone wrong.

The conceptual problem is that the surface-consistent model lumps together filtering effects due to surface conditions such as recording filters with those due to near-surface conditions such as reverberation between the free surface and the water table. For marine data these independent effects separate both temporally and spatially as the seafloor depth increases or the seafloor topography roughens.

In Chapter 2, I tackle the algorithmic problem. I return to first principles and formulate surface-consistent deconvolution as a problem in optimization, to which I apply methods for nonlinear least-squares. My deconvolution filters are computed as approximate solutions to a large, sparse, least-squares system. Useful solutions are obtained in a few iterations, with each iteration equivalent in cost to performing a single-trace deconvolution of the data.

In Chapter 3, I concentrate on removing ringing effects resulting from multiple seafloor reflections and formulate a model for marine multiple suppression that is seafloor-consistent. In this model, the seafloor is seen as a spatially-varying reflection filter. I adapt the nonlinear least-squares techniques earlier applied to surface-consistent prediction-error filtering to estimate these seafloor-consistent filters for a marine line from the Barents Sea. This results in a marked improvement in pegleg multiple suppression compared to both surface-consistent processing and conventional techniques for multiple removal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank my advisor, Jon Claerbout, and the members of the Stanford Exploration Project (SEP) for their support of my research. Field data used in this thesis were kindly provided by Western Geophysical, Amoco, and GECO for use by the SEP. Valuable suggestions and criticism came from many sources. The foundations on which I have built were laid down by Jon Claerbout and Larry Morley of the SEP. Much of my knowledge about large linear and nonlinear least-squares techniques was gleaned from Gene Golub's seminars on numerical analysis and applied mathematics. I am immensely privileged to have had the direct guidance of both Jon Claerbout and Ken Larner. Francis Muir and Fabio Rocca made their vast experience and wisdom freely available to me. My research was strongly influenced by my fellow students, in particular Dave Hale, John Toldi, Dan Rothman, Shuki Ronen, Chuck Sword, and Paul Fowler. Special thanks go to SEP secretary Pat Bartz, who has aided me far above and beyond the call of duty.

Finally, I thank my wife, Diane, for her support and encouragement, without which this thesis would not have been a reality.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Development	2
Chapter 2: Surface-consistent deconvolution	
2.1 Overview	5
2.2 Repetition tests.....	6
2.3 Linearizations for simultaneous filter design	12
2.4 Surface-consistent prediction-error filtering.....	15
2.5 San Joaquin Valley – irregular geometry.....	22
2.6 The failure of marine multiple suppression	32
2.7 Phase	38
2.8 Summary	41
Chapter 3: Seafloor-consistent multiple suppression	
3.1 Overview	43
3.2 Introduction	43
3.3 Wave-equation prediction-error filtering.....	45
3.4 Improving the model.....	45
3.5 Estimation procedure	47
3.6 Application: Barents Sea.....	49
3.7 The multiples that got away	59
3.8 Summary	63
References.....	65