

Short Note

Target-oriented wave-equation inversion: Sigsbee model

Alejandro A. Valenciano

INTRODUCTION

Sigsbee model is often used as a benchmark for migration/inversion algorithms due to its geological complexity. The data was modeled by simulating the geological setting found on the Sigsbee escarpment in the deep-water Gulf of Mexico. The model exhibits illumination problems due to the complex salt shape, with a rugose salt top.

When the subsurface is complex, migration operators produce images with reflectors correctly positioned but biased amplitudes (Prucha et al., 2000; Kuehl and Sacchi, 2001). That is why an inversion formalism (Tarantola, 1987) needs to be used to account for that problem.

In this paper, we apply the target-oriented wave-equation inversion idea presented in Valenciano et al. (2005) to the Sigsbee data. Due to the complex velocity structure and the limited acquisition cable length, the reflectors are not illuminated from all reflection angles. That highlights the need of a more sophisticated regularization in the angle domain (Prucha et al., 2000; Kuehl and Sacchi, 2001; Valenciano and Biondi, 2005) than the simple damping proposed by Valenciano et al. (2005).

INVERSION SETTING

Linear least-squares inversion

Tarantola (1987) formalizes the geophysical inverse problem by giving a theoretical approach to compensate for experiment deficiencies (e.g., acquisition geometry, obstacles), while being consistent with the acquired data. His approach can be summarized as follows: given a linear modeling operator \mathbf{L} compute synthetic data, \mathbf{d} , using,

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{m}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{m} is a reflectivity model, and given the recorded data \mathbf{d}_{obs} , a quadratic cost function,

$$S(\mathbf{m}) = \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}_{obs}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}_{obs}\|^2, \quad (2)$$

is formed. The model of the earth $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ that minimizes $S(\mathbf{m})$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = (\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{L})^{-1}\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{d}_{obs} \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{m}_{mig}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{L}' (migration operator) is the adjoint of the linear modeling operator \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{m}_{mig} is the migration image, and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{L}$ is the Hessian of $S(\mathbf{m})$.

The main difficulty with this approach is the explicit calculation of the Hessian inverse. In practice, it is more feasible to compute the least-squares inverse image as the solution of the linear system of equations

$$\mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m}_{mig}, \quad (5)$$

by using an iterative conjugate gradient algorithm.

Another difficulty with this approach is that the explicit calculation of the Hessian for the entire model space is impractical. Valenciano and Biondi (2004) and Valenciano et al. (2005) discuss a way to make this problem more tractable.

Non-stationary least-squares filtering

The condition number of the target-oriented Hessian matrix can be high, making the solution of the non-stationary least-squares filtering problem in equation (5) unstable. One solution is adding a smoothing regularization operator to equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{m}_{mig} &\approx 0, \\ \epsilon\mathbf{I}\hat{\mathbf{m}} &\approx 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the choice of the identity operator (\mathbf{I}) as regularization operator is customary. A more sophisticated regularization scheme could involve applying a smoothing operator in the reflection angle (or offset ray-parameter) dimension (Prucha et al., 2000; Kuehl and Sacchi, 2001) or, more generally, in the reflection and azimuth angles as proposed by Valenciano and Biondi (2005).

NUMERICAL RESULTS: SIGSBEE MODEL

The Sigsbee data set was modeled by simulating the geological setting found on the Sigsbee escarpment in the deep-water Gulf of Mexico. The model exhibits the illumination problems due to the complex salt shape, characterized by a rugose salt top (see Figure 1). We choose a target zone (indicated with the "target" box in Figure 1) to see the effects of illumination on imaging close to the salt.

Figure 2 shows the shot-profile migration image (using cross-correlation imaging condition) corresponding to the portion of Sigsbee model shown in figure 1. Notice how the diffractor amplitudes located at 12000 feet depth fade away as they get closer to the salt. The same happens to the reflectors as they get close to the salt.




Figure 1: Sigsbee velocity model, target zone indicated with the "target" box. `Sis_vel` [ER]




Figure 2: Sigsbee shot-profile migration image using cross-correlation imaging condition.

`mig_Sis` [ER]

Figure 3 shows a 21×21 coefficient filter (target-oriented Hessian) at constant depth as the x coordinate moves from the sediments to the salt boundary. Figure 3a shows point 1, with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 32000) \text{ ft}$ (far from the salt). Figure 3b shows point 2, with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 33500) \text{ ft}$. Figure 3c shows point 3, with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 35000) \text{ ft}$. Figure 3d shows point 4, with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 36500) \text{ ft}$.




Figure 3: Hessian of the Sigsbee model, (a) point 1 $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 32000) \text{ ft}$, (b) point 2 $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 33500) \text{ ft}$, (c) point 3 $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 35000) \text{ ft}$, and (d) point 4 $\mathbf{x} = (12000, 36500) \text{ ft}$. `hesian_phase_Sis` [ER]

Unlike the constant velocity case (Valenciano et al., 2005), the shape of the filter is not dependent only on the acquisition geometry but the subsurface geometry (presence of the salt body). In the area unaffected by the salt the filter looks the same as is the constant velocity case, but as we get closer to the salt the illumination varies (in intensity and angle) and the filter behaves differently. This is due to a focusing and defocusing effect created by the salt. To correct this effect we computed the least-squares inverse image, by the method described in Valenciano et al. (2005).

Figure 4 shows a comparison between the migration and the inversion images in the target area. The reflection coefficients are shown in Figure 4a. Notice the position of the faults. Figure 4b shows the illumination, which is the diagonal of the Hessian matrix (dark is high illumination light is low illumination). Notice the decrease in the illumination as it gets closer to the salt with the exception of a narrow strip where energy focuses close to the salt. The migration result is shown in Figure 4c. The reflectors dim out as they get closer to the salt. In contrast, Figure 4d shows the inversion result, the resolution increases and the section looks

more balance. The fault can be followed and interpreted closer to the salt body.

At the right of the image the resolution did not increase as much as to the left. This is due to the fact that data values (migration) to the left are bigger than to the right (Figure 4c), and so are the data residuals. Thus, the solver expends most of the time decreasing the residuals in that area.

CONCLUSIONS

The inversion gives a more balanced sections and higher resolution images, it also increases the noise that is not modeled by the one-way Green functions.

REFERENCES

- Kuehl, H. and M. Sacchi, 2001, Generalized least-squares DSR migration using a common angle imaging condition: SEG, 71st Annual International Meeting, 1025–1028.
- Prucha, M. L., R. G. Clapp, and B. Biondi, 2000, Seismic image regularization in the reflection angle domain: SEP–**103**, 109–119.
- Tarantola, A., 1987, Inverse problem theory: Elsevier.
- Valenciano, A. A. and B. Biondi, 2004, Target-oriented computation of the wave-equation imaging Hessian: SEP–**117**, 63–76.
- Valenciano, A. A. and B. Biondi, 2005, Wave-equation angle-domain hessian: SEP–**123**.
- Valenciano, A. A., B. Biondi, and A. Guitton, 2005, Target-oriented wave-equation inversion: SEP–**120**, 23–40.




Figure 4: Target area comparison. (a) reflection coefficients, (b) illumination (dark is high and light is low), (c) migration, and (d) inversion. `comp_Sis_full` [ER]